

## Aberystwyth University

### *Genetic provenance and best practice woodland management*

Beatty, Gemma; Montgomery, W. Ian; Tosh, David G.; Provan, Jim

*Published in:*

Tree Genetics and Genomes

*DOI:*

[10.1007/s11295-015-0919-1](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11295-015-0919-1)

*Publication date:*

2015

*Citation for published version (APA):*

Beatty, G., Montgomery, W. I., Tosh, D. G., & Provan, J. (2015). Genetic provenance and best practice woodland management: a case study in native alder (*Alnus glutinosa*). *Tree Genetics and Genomes*, 11(5), [92]. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11295-015-0919-1>

#### **General rights**

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the Aberystwyth Research Portal (the Institutional Repository) are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the Aberystwyth Research Portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the Aberystwyth Research Portal

#### **Take down policy**

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

tel: +44 1970 62 2400  
email: [is@aber.ac.uk](mailto:is@aber.ac.uk)

# **Genetic provenance and best practice woodland management: a case study in native alder (*Alnus glutinosa*)**

**Gemma E. Beatty<sup>1,2,3</sup> · W. Ian Montgomery<sup>1,2,3</sup> · David G. Tosh<sup>1,2</sup> · Jim Provan<sup>1,2,3\*</sup>**

<sup>1</sup> School of Biological Sciences, Queen's University Belfast, 97 Lisburn Road, Belfast BT9 7BL

<sup>2</sup> *Quercus*, School of Biological Sciences, Queen's University Belfast, 97 Lisburn Road, Belfast BT9 7BL

<sup>3</sup> Institute for Global Food Security, Queen's University Belfast

\* For correspondence: Dr. Jim Provan  
School of Biological Sciences, Queen's University Belfast  
Tel: +44 28 9097 2280  
Fax: +44 28 9097 5877  
E-mail: J.Provan@qub.ac.uk

## Abstract

In recent years, the native woodlands of Europe, including those of Britain and Ireland, have increasingly come under threat from a range of biotic and abiotic factors, and are therefore a conservation priority demanding careful management in order to realise their inherent ecological and cultural benefits. Because the distribution of genetic variation across populations and regions is increasingly considered an important component of woodland management, we carried out a population genetic analysis on black alder (*Alnus glutinosa*) across Northern Ireland in order to inform “best practice” strategies. Our findings suggest that populations harbour high levels of genetic diversity, with very little differentiation between populations. Significant  $F_{IS}$  values were observed in over half of the populations analyzed, however, which could reflect inbreeding as a result of the patchy occurrence of alder in Northern Ireland, with scattered, favourable damp habitats being largely isolated from each other by extensive tracts of farmland. Although there is no genetic evidence to support the broad-scale implementation of tree seed zones along the lines of those proposed for native woodlands in Great Britain, we suggest that the localised occurrence of rare chloroplast haplotypes should be taken into account on a case-by-case basis. This, coupled with the identification of populations containing high genetic diversity and that are broadly representative of the region as a whole, will provide a sound genetic basis for woodland management, both in alder and more generally for species that exhibit low levels of genetic differentiation.

**ADDITIONAL KEYWORDS:** Gene flow, genetic diversity, inbreeding, microsatellites, population genetics

## Introduction

In recent years, the native woodlands of Europe, including those of Britain and Ireland, have increasingly come under threat from a range of biotic and abiotic factors, including habitat loss and fragmentation, often as a result of land-use change, invasive species, emergent pests and diseases, and global climate change (Rackham 2008). Less than 1.5% of the land area in Britain is occupied by native forest (Brown 1997). Comparable data for Ireland suggest that less than 1% of land area is native woodland and this is continuing to decrease as a result of intensive agriculture and forestry practice (Cross 1998). Our remaining native woodland therefore, is a conservation priority demanding careful management in order to realise its inherent ecological and cultural benefits (Thomas et al. 1997).

Black alder (*Alnus glutinosa* [L.] Gaertn.) is a key component of European broadleaved woodlands, which is also found in highly fragmented populations in the extreme northern reaches of northwest Africa (Claessens et al. 2010). The species thrives in damp and riparian habitats, which often results in a patchy distribution, but where it does form stands, it represents an important component of riverine systems by ameliorating erosion (Claessens 2003), as well as being one of the few tree species that fixes atmospheric nitrogen via symbiosis with bacteria of the genus *Frankia* (Bond et al. 1954). Its flowers are wind-pollinated catkins which are self-incompatible, and seeds are generally dispersed by wind or water, having cork appendages that can aid floatation for up to a year (McVean 1953).

The increased threats to native tree species, including alder, which has been impacted in the last few decades by a disease caused by the oomycete *Phytophthora alni* (Brasier et al. 1995), demands the development of “best practice” management strategies. Where restocking or replanting is required, it has been recommended that seed from the same area are used to reflect local provenance (Herbert et al. 1999). The Forestry Commission in Great

50 Britain has consequently delineated “seed zones” to assist in the selection of appropriate  
51 material. Such areas, however, are defined primarily by climatic and broad ecological  
52 factors, and do not take into account the distribution of genetic variation across populations  
53 and regions, which is increasingly considered an important component of woodland  
54 management (Müller-Starck et al. 1992; Ennos et al. 1998). A recent study on European ash  
55 (*Fraxinus excelsior*) demonstrated that populations across Northern Ireland are represented  
56 by a single gene pool, and thus suggests that material for replanting need not be locally  
57 sourced (Beatty et al. 2015). In the present study we analyzed populations of alder from  
58 across the same region, since increasing deforestation and land use change for agriculture is  
59 putting many suitable habitats at risk necessitating the development of rational management  
60 programmes.

## Materials and methods

### *Sampling and DNA extraction*

Samples were collected from 24 sites across Northern Ireland and one site each in the Republic of Ireland and Scotland that had been previously designated as ancient or semi-natural woodland based on data collected for the Woodland Trust Inventory of ancient and long-established woodland in Northern Ireland ([www.backonthemap.org.uk](http://www.backonthemap.org.uk)), the National Survey of Native Woodlands 2003-08 in the Republic of Ireland ([www.npws.ie](http://www.npws.ie)) and the Scottish Ancient Woodland Inventory ([www.snh.gov.uk](http://www.snh.gov.uk); Fig. 1 and Table 1). A single leaf was collected from each of 30 trees per site and stored in silica gel, and GPS coordinates recorded for every tree sampled. DNA was extracted using the CTAB method of Doyle and Doyle (1987). Nuclear genotypes were obtained for between 14 and 29 individuals per population (Table 1; total = 632; mean = 24.308), and chloroplast haplotypes were obtained for between 12 and 30 individuals per population (Table 1; total = 673; mean = 25.885).

### *Genotyping*

All trees were genotyped for eleven nuclear and six chloroplast microsatellite loci. For nuclear microsatellite genotyping, we used eleven of the twelve previously reported loci developed for alder, with the exception of locus Ag23, which could not be consistently amplified (Lepais and Bacles 2011). Forward primers included a 19 bp M13 tail (CACGACGTTGTAAAACGAC) and reverse primers included a 7 bp tail (GTGTCTT). The eleven nuclear loci were amplified in three separate multiplex reactions (Ag05, Ag10, Ag14, Ag30 with 6-FAM; Ag01, Ag13, Ag27, Ag35 with HEX; Ag09, Ag20, Ag25 with PET) and combined for capillary electrophoresis.

*A. glutinosa* chloroplast sequences in the GenBank database were searched for mononucleotide repeats of nine or more (Provan et al. 2001). Primers were designed using the Primer3 program to amplify the six loci in two multiplexes (Table S1, Supporting Information).

PCR was carried out in a total volume of 10 µl containing 100 ng genomic DNA, 5 pmol of 6-FAM-, HEX- or PET-labelled M13 primer, 0.05 pmol of each M13-tailed forward primer, 5 pmol each reverse primer, 1x PCR reaction buffer, 200 µM each dNTP, 2.5 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub> and 0.25 U GoTaq Flexi DNA polymerase (Promega, Sunnyvale, CA, USA). PCR was carried out on a MWG Primus thermal cycler (Ebersberg, Germany) using the following conditions: initial denaturation at 94 °C for 3 min followed by 40 cycles (30 for chloroplast loci) of denaturation at 94 °C for 30 s, annealing at 58 °C for 30 s, extension at 72 °C for 30 s and a final extension at 72 °C for 5 min. Genotyping was carried out on an AB3730xl capillary genotyping system. (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA). Allele sizes were scored using the GENEMAPPER software package (v4.1; Applied Biosystems) using LIZ-500 size standards, and were checked by comparison with previously sized control samples. Chromatograms were all inspected visually.

#### *Data analysis*

GENEPOP (V3.4; Raymond and Rousset, 1995) was used to test for linkage disequilibrium between nuclear microsatellite loci. To estimate genetic diversity within the populations, levels of observed ( $H_O$ ) and expected ( $H_E$ ) heterozygosity, levels of allelic richness ( $A_R$ ) and fixation indices ( $F_{IS}$ ) were calculated using the FSTAT software package (V2.9.3.2; Goudet, 2001). Significance of  $F_{IS}$  was determined by 10,000 randomisation steps. Chloroplast microsatellite allele sizes were combined into haplotypes, and levels of genetic diversity ( $H$ ) based on haplotype frequencies were calculated using the ARLEQUIN software package

(V3.5.1.2; Excoffier and Lischer, 2010). To account for differences in sample sizes, levels of haplotype richness ( $R_h$ ) were also calculated using HAPLOTYPE ANALYSIS (V1.05; Eliades and Eliades 2009).

The overall level of genetic differentiation between populations was estimated using  $\Phi_{ST}$ , which gives an analogue of  $F_{ST}$  (Weir and Cockerham, 1984) calculated within the analysis of molecular variance (AMOVA) framework (Excoffier et al. 1992) using ARLEQUIN. To further identify possible patterns of genetic structuring, the software package BAPS (V5; Corander et al. 2003) was used to identify clusters of genetically similar populations. The program uses a greedy stochastic optimization algorithm to determine  $K$ , the number of clusters. Ten replicates were run for all possible values of  $K$  up to  $K = 26$ , the number of populations sampled. Multiple independent runs always gave the same outcome.



## Results

No significant evidence of consistent linkage disequilibrium (i.e. involving the same loci) was detected between any of the eleven nuclear microsatellites analysed (35 out of 1430 tests). Between five (Ag20) and 19 (Ag14) alleles were detected per locus, with a total of 130 (mean = 11.818 per locus; Table 1; Figures S1-S11, Supporting Information). Within populations, levels of allelic richness ( $A_R$ ) averaged over loci ranged from 3.786 (Gortin Glen) to 5.056 (Rostrevor), with a mean value of 4.664 (Table 1). Thirteen private alleles (10% of the total number of alleles) were detected, with the number per population ranging from zero to two (see Figures S1-S11, Supporting Information). The majority (11) of these were restricted to a single individual, with one of those remaining being found in two individuals and the other found in three individuals from the Roe Valley population (16/632 = 2.5% of all trees studied). Levels of observed ( $H_O$ ) and expected ( $H_E$ ) heterozygosity ranged from 0.530 (Gortin Glen) to 0.680 (Ballinderry Bridge; mean = 0.612), and from 0.584 (Gortin Glen) to 0.708 (Marble Arch; mean = 0.663) respectively. The heterozygote deficit observed in all of the populations gave rise to  $F_{IS}$  values which were significantly different from zero in 17 of the 26 populations studied, ranging from 0.008 (Lough Beg) to 0.155 (Correl Glen; mean = 0.078).

Four of the six chloroplast microsatellite loci studied were polymorphic, exhibiting either two or three alleles (Table S1, Supporting Information). Combining allele sizes across loci gave seven haplotypes. Two of these (H1 and H2) were found in the vast majority (648 out of 673) of the trees studied (Table 1). Of the remainder, H3 was found in 19 individuals, 17 of which belonged to the Fardross Forest population. Levels of haplotype diversity ( $H$ ) ranged from 0.069 (Castle Archdale) to 0.582 (Crom). Levels of haplotype richness ( $R_h$ ) ranged from 0.414 (Castle Archdale) to 2.196 (Fardross Forest).

146        Levels of population differentiation based on the nuclear and chloroplast markers were  
147         $\Phi_{ST} = 0.0195$  and  $\Phi_{ST} = 0.1864$  respectively (Table 2). Population-pairwise  $\Phi_{ST}$  values  
148        ranged from -0.034 (Hillborough / Ballinderry Bridge) to 0.078 (Portaferry / Lough Beg) for  
149        the nuclear microsatellites, and from -0.058 (Hillsborough / Gortin Glen) to 0.850 (Portaferry  
150        / Castle Archdale). The BAPS analysis assigned 25 of the 26 populations to the same genetic  
151        cluster, the exception being the Glenarriff population.

## Discussion

The findings of the present study suggest that alder populations across Northern Ireland harbour high levels of genetic diversity, with very little differentiation between populations. It is somewhat difficult to put the levels of genetic diversity observed into any significant context, since only a single population genetic analysis of alder using microsatellites has been carried out. In it, a study on fragmented populations from Northern Africa (Lepais et al. 2013), gene diversity values (equivalent to expected heterozygosity) ranged from 0.48 to 0.59 for diploid populations, with a mean value of 0.54 (unusual tetraploid populations from Morocco exhibited higher values). These were lower than the values observed in Northern Ireland in this study, which ranged from 0.584 to 0.699, with a mean of 0.663. This value is similar to that exhibited by the sole northern population analysed in the previous study, which was from Perthshire in Scotland (0.67). We also genotyped 23 individuals from a population in Tarbet, Scotland, which had  $H_E = 0.662$ , as well as 24 individuals from Coolure, Co. Westmeath ( $H_E = 0.617$ ). Thus, the levels of genetic diversity in Northern Irish populations of alder would appear to be comparable to those from the rest of Great Britain and Ireland. Significant  $F_{IS}$  values were found in over half of the populations analyzed, and were generally in excess of those reported in both an allozyme study on Slovakian populations (Gömöry and Paule 2002) and a microsatellite study on a single Scottish population (Lepais and Bacles 2011), but lower than that reported in an allozyme study in Poland (Mejnartowicz 2008). This could be a result of inbreeding, reflecting the patchy occurrence of alder in Northern Ireland, with scattered, favourable damp habitats being largely isolated from each other by extensive tracts of farmland. Nevertheless, this is not entirely consistent with the apparent high levels of gene flow, most likely via pollen given the low levels of genetic differentiation observed at the nuclear microsatellite loci. Alternative explanations could

involve Wahlund effects, as a result of substructuring within populations, and/or unevenness in patterns of recruitment (“sweepstakes recruitment”).

Analysis of genetic structuring based on nuclear microsatellites did not reveal any obvious patterns. Overall levels of differentiation were low, with around two percent of the total diversity being partitioned between populations (Table 2), a figure similar to that in Slovakian populations (Gömöry and Paule 2002). Consequently, all but one of the populations (including those from the Republic of Ireland and Scotland) were assigned to a single genetic cluster in the BAPS analysis. Assignment of the Glenarriff population to an alternative cluster is most likely an artefact of the BAPS algorithm, which has been shown previously to tend to overestimate the true number of clusters, particularly where levels of differentiation are low (Latch *et al* 2006). An examination of the allele frequencies at each of the eleven loci (Figures S1-S11, Supporting Information) indicates that any difference in the Glenarriff population is largely due to slight differences in the frequency of a low number of alleles at a few of the loci.

Chloroplast markers tend to reveal more genetic structuring in natural plant populations due to their lower effective population size and, in angiosperms, being maternally inherited and thus dispersed via seed (Provan *et al.* 2001). This was reflected in  $\Phi_{ST}$  values for chloroplast microsatellites that were an order of magnitude higher than those for nuclear microsatellites, indicating that 19% of the genetic variation was partitioned between populations. Nevertheless, this genetic variation was not partitioned geographically on any broad scale. Thus, from a management point of view, any recommendations concerning restocking should be taken at the population or local level, particularly where the population in question contains a high proportion of genotypes not found elsewhere. An obvious example of this is the Fardross Forest population, which is dominated by an otherwise relatively rare haplotype. In the event of complete loss of trees from a woodland, for

example following a catastrophic disease outbreak, it would be prudent to use some form of “genetic matching” to identify populations with broadly similar haplotype compositions. Additionally, as a general recommendation for good conservation genetic practice, particular attention should be paid to populations of a species that contain high levels of diversity and exhibit multiple haplotypes (Allendorf and Luikart 2007). In alder, for example, the Fardross Forest population contains four of the five non-unique haplotypes found in Northern Ireland, including the two haplotypes that dominate the remaining populations, with the remaining non-unique haplotype being found in a single individual from each of the extreme southeasterly populations (Portaferry and Hollymount). Such smaller-scale geographical localization of haplotypes represents a further factor that should be taken into account when assessing potential material for restocking.

The findings of the present study provide a good framework for the development of best practice management for native woodlands, particularly for species that exhibit low levels of genetic differentiation, including many tree species. Although there is no genetic evidence in alder or in ash (Beatty et al. 2015) to suggest the broad-scale implementation of seed zones along the lines of those proposed for Great Britain, there is enough evidence to suggest that the localised occurrence of rare haplotypes should be taken into account on a case-by-case basis. This, coupled with the identification of populations containing high genetic diversity and that are broadly representative of the region as a whole, will provide a sound genetic basis for woodland management.

222    **Acknowledgements**

223

224    This study was funded by the Natural Heritage Research Partnership (NHRP) between the  
225    Northern Ireland Environment Agency (NIEA) and *Quercus*, Queen's University Belfast  
226    (QUB). John Farren acted as NIEA Client Officer.

227    **Data archiving statement**

228

229    All data will be deposited in DRYAD on acceptance.

## References

- Allendorf FW, Luikart G (2007) *Conservation and the Genetics of Populations*. Blackwell, Oxford, UK.
- Beatty GE, Brown JA, Cassidy EM, Finlay CMV, McKendrick L, Montgomery WI, Reid N, Tosh DG, Provan J (2015) Lack of genetic structure and evidence for long-distance dispersal in ash (*Fraxinus excelsior*) populations under threat from an emergent fungal pathogen: implications for restorative planting. *Tree Genetics and Genomes* (In Press).
- Bond G, Fletcher W, Ferguson T (1954) The development and function of the root nodules of *Alnus*, *Myrica* and *Hippophae*. *Plant Soil* 5:309-323.
- Brasier C, Rose J, Gibbs J (1995) An unusual Phytophthora associated with widespread alder mortality in Britain. *Plant Pathol* 44:999-1007.
- Brown N (1997) Re-defining native woodland. *Forestry* 70:191-198.
- Claessens H (2003) The alder populations of Europe. *For Comm Bull* 126:5-14.
- Claessens H, Oosterbaan A, Savill P, Rondeux J (2010) A review of the characteristics of black alder (*Alnus glutinosa* (L.) Gaertn.) and their implications for silvicultural practices. *Forestry* 83:163-175.
- Corander J, Waldmann P, Sillanpää MJ (2003) Bayesian analysis of genetic differentiation between populations. *Genetics* 163:367-374.
- Cross JR (1998) An outline and map of the potential natural vegetation of Ireland. *Appl Veget Sci* 1:241-252.
- Doyle JJ, Doyle JL (1987) A rapid DNA isolation procedure for small quantities of fresh leaf tissue. *Phytochem Bull* 19: 1-15.
- Eliades N-G, Eliades DG (2009) HAPLOTYPE ANALYSIS: software for analysis of haplotype data (available from [www.uni-goettingen.de/en/134935.html](http://www.uni-goettingen.de/en/134935.html)).



- Ennos RA, Worrell R, Malcolm DC (1998) The genetic management of native species in Scotland. *Forestry* 71:1-23.
- Excoffier L, Smouse PE, Quattro JM (1992) Analysis of molecular variance inferred from metric distances among DNA haplotypes - application to human mitochondrial DNA restriction data. *Genetics* 131:479-491.
- Excoffier L, Lischer HEL (2010) Arlequin suite ver 3.5: a new series of programs to perform population genetics analyses under Linux and Windows. *Molecular Ecol Resources* 10:564-567.
- Goudet J (2001) FSTAT, version 2.9.3, A program to estimate and test gene diversities and fixation indices. <http://www2.unil.ch/popgen/softwares/fstat.htm>.
- Gömöry D, Paule L (2002) Spatial and microgeographical genetic differentiation of black alder (*Alnus glutinosa* Gaertn.) populations. *For Ecol Managem* 160:3-9.
- Herbert R, Samuel S, Pattison G (1999) *Using Local Stock for Planting Native Trees and Shrubs*. Forestry Commission Practice Note 8. Forestry Commission, Edinburgh, UK.
- Latch EK, Dharmarajan D, Glaubitz JC, Rhodes OE (2006) Relative performance of Bayesian clustering software for inferring population substructure and individual assignment at low levels of population differentiation. *Conservation Genetics* 7: 295-302.
- Lepais O, Bacles CFE (2011) De novo discovery and multiplexed amplification of microsatellite markers for Black alder (*Alnus glutinosa*) and related species using SSR-enriched shotgun pyrosequencing. *J Hered* 102:627-631.
- Lepais O, Muller SD, Saad-Limam SB, Benslama M, Rhazi L, Belouahem-Abed D, Doud-Bouattour A, Gammar AM, Ghrabi-Gammar Z, Bacles CFE (2013) High genetic diversity and distinctiveness of rear-edge climate relicts maintained by ancient tetraploidization for *Alnus glutinosa*. *PLoS ONE* 8: e75029.
- McVean DN (1953) *Alnus glutinosa* (L.) Gaertn. *J Ecol* 41:447-466.

- Mejnartowicz L (2008) Genetic variation within and among naturally regenerating populations of alder (*Alnus glutinosa*). *Acta Soc Bot Poloniae* 77:105-110.
- Müller-Starck G, Baradat P, Bergmann F (1992) Genetic variation within European tree species. *New Forests* 6:23-47.
- Provan J, Powell W, Hollingsworth PM (2001) Chloroplast microsatellites: new tools for studies in plant ecology and systematic. *Trends Ecol Evol* 16:142-147.
- Rackham O (2008) Ancient woodlands: modern threats. *New Phytologist* 180:571-586.
- Raymond M, Rousset F (1995) GENEPOP (version 1.2): population genetic software for exact tests and ecumenicism. *J Hered* 86:248-249.
- Thomas RC, Kirby KJ, Reid CM (1997) The conservation of fragmented ecosystem within a cultural landscape – the case of ancient woodland in England. *Biol Conserv* 82:243-252.
- Weir BS, Cockerham CC (1984) Estimating F-statistics for the analysis of population structure. *Evolution* 38:1358-137.

**Table 1** Details of populations studied.  $N$  – number of individuals analysed;  $A_R$  – allelic richness;  $P$  – number of private alleles;  $H_O$  – observed heterozygosity;  $H_E$  – expected heterozygosity;  $F_{IS}$  – inbreeding coefficient (\*  $P < 0.05$ ; \*\*  $P < 0.01$ ; \*\*\*  $P < 0.001$ ; NS – non-significant); H1-H7 – frequency of chloroplast haplotypes;  $H$  – gene diversity;  $R_h$  – haplotypic richness.

No	Name	Lat	Long	Nuclear						Chloroplast									
		(N)	(W)	N	$A_R$	P	$H_O$	$H_E$	$F_{IS}$	N	H1	H2	H3	H4	H5	H6	H7	H	$R_h$
1	Portaferry	54.391	5.565	14	3.838	-	0.614	0.628	0.023 <sup>NS</sup>	15	1	13	-	1	-	-	-	0.257	1.600
2	Hollymount	54.322	5.751	28	4.762	1	0.577	0.666	0.136***	29	21	6	-	1	-	1	-	0.446	1.802
3	Glenarm	54.964	5.955	24	4.646	-	0.647	0.674	0.041 <sup>NS</sup>	28	13	15	-	-	-	-	-	0.516	1.000
4	Lagan Valley	54.552	5.960	22	4.765	-	0.627	0.671	0.067*	26	14	12	-	-	-	-	-	0.517	1.000
5	Hillsborough	54.459	6.083	20	4.530	-	0.598	0.633	0.057 <sup>NS</sup>	25	20	5	-	-	-	-	-	0.333	0.976
6	Glenarriff	55.039	6.085	28	4.133	1	0.575	0.619	0.072*	30	17	13	-	-	-	-	-	0.508	1.000
7	Rostrevor	54.093	6.190	26	5.056	1	0.663	0.694	0.047 <sup>NS</sup>	29	25	4	-	-	-	-	-	0.246	0.900
8	Rea's Wood	54.705	6.229	21	4.936	2	0.617	0.699	0.120***	28	8	19	1	-	-	-	-	0.474	1.424
9	Clare Glen	54.354	6.428	27	5.017	-	0.596	0.672	0.115***	29	17	12	-	-	-	-	-	0.502	1.000
10	Lough Beg	54.802	6.485	26	4.372	1	0.621	0.625	0.008 <sup>NS</sup>	29	8	21	-	-	-	-	-	0.414	0.994
11	Ballinderry Bridge	54.669	6.521	29	4.964	-	0.680	0.696	0.023 <sup>NS</sup>	30	16	14	-	-	-	-	-	0.515	1.000
12	Peatlands Park	54.483	6.612	22	4.765	-	0.589	0.667	0.120**	21	13	8	-	-	-	-	-	0.495	1.000
13	Mount Sandel	55.100	6.646	22	4.587	-	0.598	0.668	0.107**	29	14	15	-	-	-	-	-	0.517	1.000
14	Drum Manor	54.639	6.815	24	4.836	1	0.599	0.647	0.076**	28	12	16	-	-	-	-	-	0.508	1.000
15	Roe Valley	55.025	6.939	24	4.522	1	0.576	0.639	0.101**	27	17	10	-	-	-	-	-	0.484	1.000
16	Ness Wood	54.947	7.181	27	4.990	-	0.632	0.686	0.081**	28	15	13	-	-	-	-	-	0.516	1.000
17	Gortin Glen	54.667	7.233	24	3.786	1	0.530	0.584	0.098*	12	9	3	-	-	-	-	-	0.409	1.000
18	Fardross Forest	54.374	7.268	22	4.574	-	0.576	0.665	0.137***	25	5	2	17	-	1	-	-	0.510	2.196
19	Crom	54.170	7.451	25	4.912	-	0.673	0.686	0.020 <sup>NS</sup>	26	14	10	1	-	-	-	1	0.582	1.912
20	Belle Isle	54.245	7.564	25	4.955	-	0.665	0.687	0.032 <sup>NS</sup>	26	13	13	-	-	-	-	-	0.520	1.000

**Table 1** (Continued)

<i>No</i>	<i>Name</i>	<i>Lat</i> ( <i>N</i> )	<i>Long</i> ( <i>W</i> )	<i>Nuclear</i>						<i>Chloroplast</i>									
				<i>N</i>	<i>A<sub>R</sub></i>	<i>P</i>	<i>H<sub>O</sub></i>	<i>H<sub>E</sub></i>	<i>F<sub>IS</sub></i>	<i>N</i>	<i>H1</i>	<i>H2</i>	<i>H3</i>	<i>H4</i>	<i>H5</i>	<i>H6</i>	<i>H7</i>	<i>H</i>	<i>R<sub>h</sub></i>
21	Sloughan Glen	54.622	7.564	27	4.613	2	0.597	0.655	0.091**	26	16	9	-	-	1	-	-	0.520	1.461
22	Castle Archdale	54.484	7.722	27	4.909	-	0.677	0.688	0.016 <sup>NS</sup>	29	28	1	-	-	-	-	-	0.069	0.414
23	Marble Arch	54.264	7.812	27	5.001	2	0.644	0.708	0.092**	24	21	3	-	-	-	-	-	0.228	0.891
24	Correl Glen	54.439	7.885	24	5.017	-	0.575	0.677	0.155***	24	23	1	-	-	-	-	-	0.083	0.500
25	Coolure	53.677	7.368	24	4.320	-	0.576	0.614	0.065*	25	11	14	-	-	-	-	-	0.513	1.000
26	Tarbet	56.203	4.711	23	4.449	-	0.597	0.662	0.100**	25	23	2	-	-	-	-	-	0.133	0.740

**Table 2** Analysis of molecular variance (AMOVA).

Markers	Source of variation	Sum of squares	Variance	% variation
Nuclear	Among populations	159.032	0.06493	1.95
	Within populations	3967.582	3.25746	98.05
Chloroplast	Among populations	36.519	0.04834	18.64
	Within populations	136.469	0.21093	81.36

**Table S1** Alder chloroplast microsatellite primers. Multiplex 1 – FN687522, AY165747, FJ012046.1 (6-FAM); Multiplex 2 – FJ012046.2, FJ011994, AY165745 (HEX).

Locus	Repeat	Primers	Size (bp)
FN687522	(T) <sub>9</sub>	AAAAAGTATTTGAGTATCCTATTTTCG CAAGAGACATAAAAGAAATTGAAACC	182
AY165747	(T) <sub>11</sub>	CAAACAAATAATTGTCAGCAACG CGTATGAATTAAGAAGAATTCTTTGG	92,93,100
FJ012046.1	(T) <sub>9</sub>	CAGAAAGGATGAAGGATAACCGTA TCGATTCACAACAACCTCTTTCA	163
FJ012046.2	(T) <sub>12</sub>	ACATATCATCTCTGATACTGTACTAAAACCTT CGGGGCATCATCCTTATTTT	184,185
FJ011994	(G) <sub>9</sub>	AGACATAATTTCTAATTTCTAATTTTCTTGAG ATTGGGATAGATGTAGATGAATAATAC	123,124
AY165745	(A) <sub>7</sub> T(A) <sub>10</sub>	TTTTCCTTGCTCGATTTTGAA CGCTTTTGTCAATGACTTGG	156,157,158

\* Forward tailed with CACGACGTTGTAAAACGAC; Reverse tailed with GTGTCTT

**Table S2.** Population-pairwise  $\Phi_{ST}$  values. Lower diagonal matrix – nuclear; Upper diagonal matrix – chloroplast. Values significantly different from zero are shown in bold. Numbers refer to populations in Table 1.

1		<b>0.520</b>	0.211	<b>0.290</b>	<b>0.610</b>	<b>0.319</b>	<b>0.696</b>	0.057	<b>0.342</b>	0.042	0.282	0.386	0.229	0.175	<b>0.394</b>	<b>0.286</b>	<b>0.553</b>	<b>0.560</b>	<b>0.308</b>	0.249	0.385	<b>0.850</b>	<b>0.712</b>	<b>0.831</b>	0.187	<b>0.776</b>
2	<b>0.039</b>		0.131	0.065	-0.028	0.046	0.003	<b>0.296</b>	0.032	<b>0.339</b>	0.071	0.006	0.114	0.166	0.005	0.068	-0.056	<b>0.432</b>	0.032	0.097	0.000	0.124	0.008	0.100	0.153	0.051
3	<b>0.048</b>	<b>0.019</b>		-0.027	0.183	-0.014	0.277	0.018	-0.006	0.040	-0.026	0.006	-0.036	-0.034	0.018	-0.027	0.098	<b>0.406</b>	-0.009	-0.036	0.021	<b>0.455</b>	<b>0.286</b>	<b>0.422</b>	-0.038	<b>0.360</b>
4	<b>0.050</b>	0.017	0.005		0.108	-0.036	0.196	0.071	-0.033	0.101	-0.037	-0.031	-0.031	-0.014	-0.022	-0.039	0.031	<b>0.400</b>	-0.031	-0.037	-0.019	<b>0.381</b>	0.206	<b>0.346</b>	-0.021	<b>0.281</b>
5	<b>0.072</b>	-0.004	-0.013	0.018		0.083	-0.024	<b>0.363</b>	0.066	<b>0.409</b>	0.113	0.035	0.164	<b>0.223</b>	0.031	0.111	-0.058	<b>0.488</b>	0.070	0.146	0.026	0.096	-0.021	0.072	0.210	0.019
6	<b>0.056</b>	<b>0.043</b>	<b>0.037</b>	<b>0.034</b>	<b>0.047</b>		0.164	0.098	-0.034	0.130	-0.032	-0.036	-0.021	0.003	-0.028	-0.034	0.011	<b>0.403</b>	-0.031	-0.028	-0.025	<b>0.338</b>	0.173	0.306	-0.005	<b>0.244</b>
7	<b>0.053</b>	0.014	0.008	0.008	0.000	<b>0.037</b>		<b>0.456</b>	0.144	<b>0.502</b>	0.199	0.113	<b>0.255</b>	<b>0.318</b>	0.102	0.198	-0.016	<b>0.544</b>	0.147	<b>0.238</b>	0.094	0.032	-0.039	0.015	0.308	-0.021
8	<b>0.060</b>	<b>0.024</b>	0.004	<b>0.023</b>	0.014	<b>0.048</b>	0.014		0.115	-0.032	0.069	0.143	0.031	-0.002	0.158	0.070	0.276	<b>0.447</b>	0.099	0.041	0.157	<b>0.616</b>	<b>0.465</b>	<b>0.587</b>	0.002	<b>0.533</b>
9	<b>0.049</b>	<b>0.023</b>	0.012	0.011	-0.002	<b>0.040</b>	0.007	0.006		0.149	-0.029	-0.040	-0.014	0.014	-0.033	-0.031	-0.003	<b>0.404</b>	-0.032	-0.022	-0.031	<b>0.319</b>	0.154	<b>0.288</b>	0.005	0.224
10	<b>0.078</b>	<b>0.026</b>	0.011	0.012	<b>0.028</b>	<b>0.052</b>	<b>0.023</b>	<b>0.037</b>	0.020		0.098	0.182	0.054	0.015	<b>0.196</b>	0.099	<b>0.327</b>	<b>0.481</b>	0.133	0.067	0.196	<b>0.659</b>	<b>0.512</b>	<b>0.633</b>	0.021	<b>0.579</b>
11	<b>0.055</b>	0.014	0.002	0.009	-0.034	<b>0.034</b>	0.000	-0.005	0.007	<b>0.018</b>		-0.027	-0.030	-0.013	-0.017	-0.036	0.037	<b>0.401</b>	-0.028	-0.035	-0.014	<b>0.374</b>	0.208	0.342	-0.020	<b>0.280</b>
12	<b>0.048</b>	0.014	0.004	0.014	0.013	<b>0.036</b>	0.008	0.016	-0.005	0.014	-0.002		-0.005	0.030	-0.044	-0.029	-0.029	<b>0.405</b>	-0.036	-0.015	-0.042	<b>0.308</b>	0.124	0.272	0.019	0.200
13	<b>0.071</b>	0.016	0.013	0.017	0.002	<b>0.025</b>	0.010	0.015	0.010	0.022	0.008	0.010		-0.030	0.007	-0.031	0.081	<b>0.404</b>	-0.015	-0.037	0.010	<b>0.432</b>	0.264	<b>0.400</b>	-0.035	<b>0.338</b>
14	<b>0.065</b>	0.015	0.016	<b>0.029</b>	0.006	<b>0.059</b>	0.010	0.008	<b>0.022</b>	<b>0.020</b>	0.004	0.015	0.024		0.043	-0.013	0.135	<b>0.414</b>	0.009	-0.028	0.046	<b>0.495</b>	<b>0.327</b>	<b>0.462</b>	-0.039	<b>0.401</b>
15	<b>0.045</b>	<b>0.028</b>	0.005	0.014	<b>0.031</b>	<b>0.049</b>	0.005	0.012	0.018	<b>0.022</b>	0.007	0.009	0.016	0.018		-0.019	-0.030	<b>0.412</b>	-0.028	-0.004	-0.037	<b>0.277</b>	0.112	<b>0.246</b>	0.033	0.181
16	<b>0.059</b>	0.013	0.003	0.009	0.004	<b>0.040</b>	0.011	0.011	0.010	<b>0.017</b>	-0.004	0.003	0.006	<b>0.016</b>	0.013		0.034	<b>0.400</b>	-0.029	-0.036	-0.016	<b>0.377</b>	0.207	<b>0.344</b>	-0.020	<b>0.281</b>
17	<b>0.070</b>	<b>0.027</b>	-0.006	0.007	<b>0.044</b>	0.026	0.012	0.008	0.004	0.024	0.000	0.013	0.003	0.017	-0.002	0.011		<b>0.435</b>	0.001	0.063	-0.032	0.182	-0.008	0.143	0.122	0.058
18	<b>0.044</b>	0.020	0.018	<b>0.025</b>	<b>0.047</b>	<b>0.028</b>	0.010	<b>0.036</b>	0.015	<b>0.043</b>	<b>0.020</b>	<b>0.024</b>	0.023	<b>0.050</b>	<b>0.034</b>	<b>0.032</b>	<b>0.041</b>		<b>0.346</b>	<b>0.401</b>	<b>0.392</b>	<b>0.654</b>	<b>0.545</b>	<b>0.628</b>	<b>0.410</b>	<b>0.590</b>
19	<b>0.056</b>	0.011	-0.002	0.016	0.014	<b>0.049</b>	0.015	0.002	0.014	<b>0.023</b>	-0.007	0.009	0.016	<b>0.017</b>	0.016	-0.003	0.011	<b>0.035</b>		-0.023	-0.029	<b>0.315</b>	0.154	0.282	0.000	<b>0.222</b>
20	<b>0.068</b>	<b>0.016</b>	0.009	0.005	-0.012	<b>0.055</b>	0.003	0.006	0.013	<b>0.016</b>	-0.002	-0.002	0.015	0.006	0.004	0.008	0.001	<b>0.027</b>	-0.001		-0.001	<b>0.423</b>	<b>0.248</b>	<b>0.389</b>	-0.033	<b>0.324</b>
21	<b>0.056</b>	<b>0.026</b>	0.015	0.012	0.016	<b>0.060</b>	0.019	0.015	0.012	<b>0.030</b>	0.014	0.012	<b>0.028</b>	<b>0.023</b>	0.014	<b>0.022</b>	0.006	<b>0.035</b>	<b>0.013</b>	0.006		<b>0.263</b>	0.103	0.231	0.035	<b>0.169</b>
22	<b>0.050</b>	0.009	0.002	0.011	-0.017	<b>0.044</b>	0.001	-0.004	0.012	<b>0.018</b>	0.001	0.011	0.010	0.002	0.012	0.009	0.001	<b>0.023</b>	0.000	0.005	0.013		0.020	-0.039	<b>0.493</b>	-0.018
23	<b>0.065</b>	<b>0.028</b>	0.015	<b>0.024</b>	<b>0.027</b>	<b>0.042</b>	<b>0.015</b>	<b>0.022</b>	<b>0.027</b>	<b>0.031</b>	0.003	0.026	<b>0.022</b>	<b>0.033</b>	<b>0.022</b>	<b>0.021</b>	<b>0.033</b>	<b>0.028</b>	<b>0.020</b>	0.021	<b>0.038</b>	0.007		0.003	<b>0.318</b>	-0.031
24	<b>0.063</b>	0.002	0.001	0.018	<b>0.035</b>	<b>0.044</b>	-0.009	<b>0.018</b>	0.001	<b>0.027</b>	-0.018	0.018	0.000	0.010	<b>0.022</b>	0.000	<b>0.036</b>	<b>0.028</b>	0.013	-0.001	<b>0.031</b>	-0.009	0.014		<b>0.458</b>	-0.029
25	<b>0.061</b>	<b>0.030</b>	<b>0.026</b>	<b>0.038</b>	<b>0.034</b>	<b>0.036</b>	<b>0.039</b>	<b>0.044</b>	<b>0.036</b>	<b>0.052</b>	<b>0.026</b>	<b>0.030</b>	<b>0.016</b>	<b>0.057</b>	<b>0.041</b>	<b>0.027</b>	0.029	<b>0.047</b>	<b>0.027</b>	<b>0.034</b>	<b>0.041</b>	<b>0.037</b>	<b>0.062</b>	<b>0.059</b>		<b>0.394</b>
26	<b>0.064</b>	0.013	0.005	0.014	-0.003	<b>0.034</b>	0.014	0.011	0.012	<b>0.035</b>	0.002	0.018	0.010	<b>0.028</b>	<b>0.032</b>	0.011	0.007	<b>0.021</b>	0.000	0.009	<b>0.022</b>	0.001	<b>0.023</b>	0.008	0.030	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26


## Figure Legends


**Fig. 1** Locations of sites sampled in this study. Numbers correspond to those in Table 1.


**Fig. 2.** Distribution of alder chloroplast haplotypes H1-H7. Inset shows the relationships between the seven haplotypes. The dashed line indicates an alternative homoplasious link between haplotypes H4 and H5.

**Figs. S1-S11** Bubble plots showing allele frequencies at each locus. Size of bubbles are proportional to allele frequency.












Locus Ag01



Locus Ag05




Locus Ag09




Locus Ag10






Locus Ag14







Locus Ag20




Locus Ag25



Locus Ag27



Locus Ag30



Locus Ag35